

## Table of Contents

<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>IX</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>XIII</b>
<b>S. SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>S-1</b>
S.1 BACKGROUND.....	S-1
S.2 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE PROJECT.....	S-2
S.3 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED.....	S-2
S.3.1 No Build Alternative .....	S-3
S.3.2 Development and Screening of Alternatives in the Alternatives Analysis .....	S-3
S.3.3 Refinements to Alternatives During DEIS Process.....	S-4
S.3.4 Build Alternatives Evaluated in the DEIS.....	S-4
S.3.5 Locally Preferred Alternative Evaluated in the FEIS.....	S-7
S.3.6 Cost Estimates.....	S-11
S.4 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT .....	S-11
S.5 TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS .....	S-13
S.5.1 Public Transportation Impacts .....	S-13
S.5.2 Highway and Roadway Impacts .....	S-14
S.5.3 Impacts on Railroads.....	S-17
S.5.4 On-Street Parking Impacts .....	S-17
S.5.5 Impacts on Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities.....	S-18
S.6 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.....	S-18
S.7 PUBLIC AND AGENCY COORDINATION.....	S-24
S.8 EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES.....	S-24
S.8.1 Evaluation Framework.....	S-25
S.8.2 Summary of Evaluation Results.....	S-26
S.8.3 Conclusion.....	S-27
<b>1. PURPOSE AND NEED</b> .....	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....	1-1
1.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT STUDY AREA .....	1-4
1.2.1 Study Area Setting.....	1-4
1.2.2 Development and Growth .....	1-7
1.2.3 Transportation Facilities and Services.....	1-10
1.3 NEED FOR THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	1-13
1.3.1 Specific Transportation Needs in the Corridor.....	1-13
1.3.2 Purpose of the Proposed Project.....	1-15
1.4 PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES .....	1-15

1.5	PLANNING CONTEXT .....	1-17
1.5.1	Decision Framework .....	1-17
1.5.2	Role of the EIS in the Project Development Process .....	1-20
1.5.3	Decision at Hand .....	1-21
<b>2.</b>	<b>ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	DEVELOPMENT AND SCREENING OF ALTERNATIVES IN THE ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS .....	2-1
2.1.1	Scoping and Public Involvement.....	2-1
2.1.2	Alternatives Screening and Selection Process .....	2-2
2.2	DEVELOPMENT AND SCREENING OF ALTERNATIVES IN THE DOWNTOWN CONNECTOR STUDY .....	2-16
2.2.1	Alternatives Evaluated in the Downtown Connector Study.....	2-18
2.2.2	Results of Evaluation of Alternatives in the Connector Study.....	2-20
2.3	DEFINITION OF ALTERNATIVES EVALUATED IN THE DEIS.....	2-22
2.3.1	No Build Alternative .....	2-22
2.3.2	Build Alternatives .....	2-25
2.4	DEFINITION OF THE LOCALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE .....	2-43
2.4.1	Refinements to the BRT Convertible Alternative .....	2-43
2.4.2	Alignment and Station Locations .....	2-46
2.4.3	Stations.....	2-47
2.4.4	Support Facilities .....	2-48
2.4.5	Vehicles .....	2-48
2.4.6	Operating Plan.....	2-48
2.5	CAPITAL COST ESTIMATES .....	2-48
2.5.1	Methodology .....	2-48
2.5.2	Cost Estimate Results .....	2-49
2.6	OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COST ESTIMATES .....	2-49
2.6.1	Methodology .....	2-49
2.6.2	Cost Estimate Results .....	2-51
2.7	BUILD ALTERNATIVE SCHEDULE.....	2-51
<b>3.</b>	<b>AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	LAND USE .....	3-1
3.1.1	Regional Summary .....	3-1
3.1.2	Existing Land Use.....	3-2
3.1.3	Local Land Use Plans/Policies .....	3-9
3.1.4	Major Activity Centers.....	3-20
3.1.5	Emerging Trends .....	3-22
3.2	SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND NEIGHBORHOODS .....	3-25
3.2.1	Population.....	3-25
3.2.2	Employment.....	3-28
3.2.3	Neighborhoods and Community Facilities and Services .....	3-30

3.3	TRANSPORTATION .....	3-36
3.3.1	Public Transportation.....	3-36
3.3.2	Roads and Highways.....	3-43
3.3.3	Railroads.....	3-50
3.3.4	Parking.....	3-51
3.3.5	Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities .....	3-52
3.3.6	Regional Transportation Improvement Plans .....	3-52
3.4	AIR QUALITY .....	3-53
3.4.1	Relevant Pollutants and Air Quality Standards.....	3-53
3.4.2	Air Quality Levels and Compliance.....	3-55
3.4.3	Ambient Air Quality in the Study Area .....	3-56
3.5	NOISE AND VIBRATION .....	3-56
3.5.1	Measuring Noise Levels .....	3-57
3.5.2	Noise Criteria .....	3-58
3.5.3	Ground-Borne Vibration.....	3-60
3.5.4	Vibration Criteria .....	3-63
3.5.5	Existing Noise and Vibration Levels .....	3-64
3.6	VISUAL QUALITY AND AESTHETICS .....	3-70
3.6.1	Existing Visual Characteristics.....	3-71
3.6.2	Existing Visual Quality .....	3-71
3.6.3	Visual Aspects of Existing Transportation Facilities .....	3-72
3.6.4	Visually Sensitive Resources.....	3-73
3.6.5	Viewers .....	3-73
3.7	ECOSYSTEMS .....	3-73
3.7.1	Natural Communities .....	3-74
3.7.2	Existing Wildlife.....	3-74
3.7.3	Existing Vegetation .....	3-74
3.7.4	Threatened and Endangered Species .....	3-75
3.8	WATER RESOURCES.....	3-78
3.8.1	Surface Waters .....	3-78
3.8.2	Groundwater .....	3-78
3.8.3	Floodplains and Drainage.....	3-79
3.8.4	Wetlands and Riverine Systems (Waters of the US) .....	3-79
3.9	HISTORIC RESOURCES .....	3-81
3.9.1	Legal and Regulatory Requirements .....	3-81
3.9.2	Area of Potential Effect.....	3-83
3.9.3	Historic Architectural Resources.....	3-83
3.9.4	Archaeological Resources .....	3-90
3.10	PARKLANDS.....	3-91
3.10.1	Legal and Regulatory Requirements .....	3-91
3.10.2	City of Houston Parklands and Recreational Facilities .....	3-95
3.10.3	Harris County Parks.....	3-97
3.10.4	Other Recreational Areas .....	3-97

3.11	GEOLOGY AND SOILS .....	3-97
3.11.1	Geologic Setting .....	3-97
3.11.2	Soil Types .....	3-99
3.11.3	Faults .....	3-99
3.12	HAZARDOUS/REGULATED MATERIALS.....	3-99
3.12.1	Methodology .....	3-100
3.12.2	Results of Regulatory Database Search.....	3-101
3.12.3	Results of Site Reconnaissance .....	3-102
3.13	SAFETY AND SECURITY .....	3-102
3.13.1	Transit System Safety.....	3-102
3.13.2	Existing Police Services.....	3-103
3.13.3	Existing Fire and Emergency Services .....	3-103
<b>4.</b>	<b>TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	TRANSIT IMPACTS .....	4-1
4.1.1	Transit Levels of Service .....	4-2
4.1.2	Transit Ridership.....	4-2
4.1.3	Mitigation Measures.....	4-5
4.2	HIGHWAY AND ROADWAY IMPACTS .....	4-5
4.2.1	Regional Traffic Impacts .....	4-5
4.2.2	Localized Traffic Impacts .....	4-5
4.2.3	Corridor Street Modifications .....	4-7
4.2.4	Signalized Intersection Modifications.....	4-12
4.2.5	Impacts on Level of Service at Intersections .....	4-17
4.2.6	Station Traffic Impacts .....	4-29
4.2.7	Mitigation Measures.....	4-29
4.3	IMPACTS ON RAILROADS .....	4-30
4.4	PARKING IMPACTS .....	4-30
4.4.1	Removal of Off-Street Parking.....	4-30
4.4.2	Removal of On-Street Parking.....	4-31
4.4.3	Mitigation Measures.....	4-33
4.5	IMPACTS ON BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES .....	4-33
<b>5.</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	LAND USE .....	5-1
5.1.1	Regional Land Use and Development.....	5-1
5.1.2	Corridor Land Use and Development .....	5-2
5.1.3	Compatibility with Land Use Plans, Policies, and Controls.....	5-3
5.1.4	Neighborhood Integrity .....	5-11
5.1.5	Station Area Land Use.....	5-12
5.1.6	Emerging Trends .....	5-17
5.1.7	Mitigation Measures.....	5-17

5.2	SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS .....	5-18
5.2.1	Environmental Justice.....	5-18
5.2.2	Economic Impacts .....	5-24
5.2.3	Neighborhoods, Community Facilities and Services .....	5-26
5.3	ACQUISITIONS AND DISPLACEMENTS/RELOCATIONS .....	5-29
5.3.1	Property Acquisitions and Relocations .....	5-30
5.3.2	Mitigation Measures.....	5-32
5.4	AIR QUALITY .....	5-33
5.4.1	Methodology .....	5-33
5.4.2	Impact Analysis.....	5-39
5.4.3	Mitigation Measures.....	5-40
5.5	NOISE AND VIBRATION .....	5-41
5.5.1	Methodology .....	5-41
5.5.2	Noise Impact Assessment .....	5-43
5.5.3	Vibration Impact Assessment .....	5-46
5.6	VISUAL/AESTHETICS .....	5-49
5.6.1	Visual Impact of Project Physical and Structural Elements .....	5-49
5.6.2	Impacts on Visually Sensitive Resources .....	5-53
5.6.3	Mitigation Measures.....	5-54
5.7	ECOSYSTEMS .....	5-54
5.7.1	Threatened and Endangered Wildlife .....	5-55
5.7.2	Threatened and Endangered Vegetation.....	5-55
5.7.3	Mitigation Measures.....	5-56
5.8	WATER RESOURCES.....	5-56
5.8.1	Surface Waters .....	5-56
5.8.2	Groundwater .....	5-57
5.8.3	Floodplains .....	5-57
5.8.4	Wetlands and Riverine Systems.....	5-57
5.8.5	Potential Permit Requirements Related to Water Resources.....	5-57
5.8.6	Mitigation Measures.....	5-57
5.9	HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....	5-57
5.9.1	Section 106 Criteria of Adverse Effect.....	5-58
5.9.2	Assessment of Effect to Historic Resources.....	5-58
5.9.3	Assessment of Effect to Archaeological Resources .....	5-70
5.9.4	Section 106 Coordination .....	5-70
5.10	PARKLANDS AND OTHER SECTION 4(F) PROPERTIES.....	5-71
5.10.1	Methodology .....	5-71
5.10.2	Section 4(f) Use of Parkland and Recreational Resources .....	5-72
5.10.3	Section 4(f) Use of Historic Resources.....	5-75
5.10.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation.....	5-75

5.11	GEOLOGY AND SOILS .....	5-80
5.11.1	Geology .....	5-80
5.11.2	Soil.....	5-80
5.11.3	Mitigation Measures.....	5-80
5.12	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.....	5-80
5.12.1	Potential Impacts .....	5-81
5.12.2	Mitigation Measures.....	5-82
5.13	SAFETY AND SECURITY.....	5-83
5.13.1	Emergency Medical Services .....	5-83
5.13.2	Police Services .....	5-83
5.13.3	Fire and Emergency Services.....	5-83
5.14	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS.....	5-83
5.14.1	Construction Methods.....	5-84
5.14.2	Construction Sequencing.....	5-84
5.14.3	Access and Circulation .....	5-86
5.14.4	Businesses and Residences.....	5-86
5.14.5	Utilities .....	5-87
5.14.6	Air Quality .....	5-88
5.14.7	Noise and Vibration .....	5-89
5.14.8	Visual.....	5-92
5.14.9	Excavations, Fill Material, Debris, and Spoil.....	5-93
5.14.10	Water Quality and Runoff .....	5-94
5.14.11	Historic Resources.....	5-96
5.14.12	Construction Staging Areas .....	5-96
5.15	SECONDARY AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS .....	5-96
5.15.1	Methodology .....	5-97
5.15.2	Secondary Effects.....	5-99
5.15.3	Cumulative Effects.....	5-107
5.15.4	Mitigation Measures.....	5-112
<b>6.</b>	<b>PUBLIC AND AGENCY COORDINATION.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROGRAM .....	6-1
6.1.1	Objectives .....	6-2
6.1.2	Guiding Principles.....	6-2
6.2	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT STRATEGIES AND ACTIVITIES.....	6-3
6.2.1	Scoping Meetings .....	6-3
6.2.2	Community Stakeholder Meetings and Public Meetings .....	6-4
6.2.3	Community Involvement Committee.....	6-4
6.2.4	General Public Meetings.....	6-5
6.2.5	Community Workshops and Open Houses.....	6-6
6.3	COMMUNICATIONS .....	6-9
6.3.1	Project Newsletter.....	6-9
6.3.2	Project Web Site .....	6-10
6.3.3	Informational Materials .....	6-11
6.3.4	Project Database .....	6-11

6.3.5	Documentation of Written Comments.....	6-11
6.3.6	Media Outreach .....	6-12
6.3.7	Additional Activities for Increased Community Involvement .....	6-13
6.4	MEETINGS WITH ELECTED OFFICIALS .....	6-13
6.5	AGENCY COORDINATION .....	6-13
6.5.1	METRO Solutions Interagency Steering Committee .....	6-13
6.5.2	Additional Agency Meetings .....	6-14
6.6	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT FOR DOWNTOWN CONNECTOR STUDY .....	6-14
6.7	SECTION 106 COORDINATION AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT .....	6-15
6.8	PUBLIC HEARING .....	6-15
6.9	COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE DEIS .....	6-16
<b>7.</b>	<b>EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	EVALUATION FRAMEWORK.....	7-1
7.2	EFFECTIVENESS.....	7-3
7.3	IMPACTS .....	7-4
7.4	COST-EFFECTIVENESS.....	7-9
7.5	FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY .....	7-10
7.6	EQUITY .....	7-10
7.6.1	Service Equity.....	7-11
7.6.2	Financial Equity .....	7-11
7.6.3	Environmental Equity.....	7-12
7.7	NEW STARTS CRITERIA .....	7-12
7.7.1	Background.....	7-12
7.7.2	Current Ratings for the Southeast Corridor Project .....	7-13
7.8	TRADE-OFFS .....	7-18
7.9	CONCLUSION .....	7-19
<b>8.</b>	<b>FINANCIAL ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.1	BACKGROUND.....	8-1
8.2	PROJECT SPONSOR AND FUNDING PARTNERS .....	8-2
8.2.1	Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County .....	8-2
8.2.2	Federal Transit Administration.....	8-2
8.3	SUMMARY OF THE FINANCIAL PLAN.....	8-3
8.3.1	Uses of Funds.....	8-3
8.3.2	Sources of Funds.....	8-5
8.3.3	Reliance on Existing Sources of Funding .....	8-5
8.3.4	Summary .....	8-5

8.4	CAPITAL PLAN .....	8-5
8.4.1	Proposed Capital Plan for the LPA .....	8-5
8.4.2	LPA Funding Sources.....	8-9
8.4.3	Twenty-Six Year Capital Plan.....	8-11
8.4.4	Other Capital Costs .....	8-11
8.4.5	Agency-Wide Funding Sources .....	8-11
8.5	OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PLAN.....	8-16
8.5.1	Operating Revenues.....	8-16
8.5.2	Operating and Maintenance Costs .....	8-22
8.6	CASH FLOW ANALYSIS.....	8-26
8.6.1	Financial Capacity .....	8-26
8.6.2	Capital Financial Indicators.....	8-26
8.6.3	Operating Financial Indicators.....	8-37
8.6.4	Current Ratio .....	8-37
8.6.5	Sensitivity and Risk Analysis .....	8-37

### **List of Appendices**

- APPENDIX A LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**
- APPENDIX B LIST OF DEIS RECIPIENTS**
- APPENDIX C LIST OF FEIS PREPARERS**
- APPENDIX D REFERENCES**
- APPENDIX E AGENCY CORRESPONDENCE**
- APPENDIX F SECTION 4(f) EVALUATION**
- APPENDIX G HAZARDOUS/REGULATED MATERIALS SITE LISTING**
- APPENDIX H MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT**
- APPENDIX I STATION AREA LAND USE**
- APPENDIX J LPA PARCEL ACQUISITIONS**

## List of Tables

Table S-1.	Summary of Capital Cost Estimates (Millions 2006 Dollars) .....	S-12
Table S-2.	Average Weekday Station Boardings.....	S-14
Table S-3.	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	S-19
Table 1-1.	Summary of Demographic and Income Characteristics .....	1-8
Table 1-2.	Employment and Population Projections.....	1-8
Table 1-3.	Project Goals and Objectives .....	1-16
Table 2-1.	Criteria for Screening of Conceptual Alignment Alternatives.....	2-5
Table 2-2.	Summary of Technical Evaluation of Alternatives .....	2-14
Table 2-3.	No Build Alternative Regional Roadway Improvements .....	2-23
Table 2-4.	No Build Alternative Transit Service Improvements .....	2-25
Table 2-5.	No Build Alternative Transit Capital Facilities.....	2-26
Table 2-6.	Summary of Capital Cost Estimates (Millions 2006 Dollars) .....	2-50
Table 2-7.	Project Schedule .....	2-51
Table 3-1.	Existing Land Use Totals for Study Area.....	3-2
Table 3-2.	Study Area Permit Activity: 2001 - 2003.....	3-24
Table 3-3.	Population by Age .....	3-26
Table 3-4.	Population by Race and Ethnicity.....	3-26
Table 3-5.	Housing by Occupancy and Tenure .....	3-29
Table 3-6.	Existing Public Transit Service within the Study Area .....	3-38
Table 3-7.	METRO Fare Structure .....	3-41
Table 3-8.	METRO Stored Value Card Information.....	3-41
Table 3-9.	Weekday Bus Ridership .....	3-42
Table 3-10.	Level of Service Definitions .....	3-47
Table 3-11.	Existing Levels of Service at Signalized Intersections in Downtown.....	3-48
Table 3-12.	Existing Levels of Service at Signalized Intersections Outside of Downtown ..	3-49
Table 3-13.	Existing Automobile Travel Times .....	3-50
Table 3-14.	National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	3-55
Table 3-15.	Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data (2003-2005).....	3-57
Table 3-16.	FTA Guidelines for Land Use Categories and Metrics for Transit Noise.....	3-60
Table 3-17.	Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria .....	3-63
Table 3-18.	Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings .....	3-63
Table 3-19.	Summary of Existing Noise Measurement Results .....	3-66

Table 3-20.	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	3-76
Table 3-21.	NRHP-Listed and Eligible Resources in Project APE.....	3-84
Table 3-22.	Parks and Recreational Facilities .....	3-93
Table 3-23.	University Athletic Areas .....	3-98
Table 3-24.	Federal Records Hazardous Materials Search.....	3-100
Table 3-25.	State Records Hazardous Materials Search .....	3-100
Table 3-26.	Types of Hazardous Materials Sites.....	3-101
Table 3-27.	Regulatory Database Search Results by Alignment Option .....	3-101
Table 3-28.	Fire and Emergency Services .....	3-104
Table 4-1.	Total Average Weekday Fixed Guideway Ridership .....	4-3
Table 4-2.	Average Weekday Station Boardings.....	4-4
Table 4-3.	No Build Alternative Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections in Downtown.....	4-18
Table 4-4.	No Build Alternative Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections between St. Emanuel and Wheeler Street .....	4-19
Table 4-5.	No Build Alternative Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections on Scott Street and Griggs Road .....	4-20
Table 4-6.	No Build Alternative Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections on Wheeler Street and Martin Luther King Boulevard .....	4-20
Table 4-7.	LPA Alignment between Smith and St. Emanuel Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections .....	4-22
Table 4-8.	LPA Alignment between St. Emanuel and Wheeler Street Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections.....	4-23
Table 4-9.	LPA Alignment between Scott Street and Beekman Road Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections.....	4-24
Table 4-10.	LRT Alignment in Downtown Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections on Capitol.....	4-25
Table 4-11.	LRT Alignment in Downtown Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections on Prairie.....	4-25
Table 4-12.	BRT Alignment in Downtown Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections on Capitol and Rusk.....	4-26
Table 4-13.	Build Alternatives Alignment between St. Emanuel and Wheeler Street Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections .....	4-27
Table 4-14.	Build Alternatives Alignment between Wheeler Street and Beekman Road Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections.....	4-28
Table 4-15.	Build Alternatives with Wheeler-MLK Alignment Option Level of Service Analysis for Signalized Intersections.....	4-29

Table 5-1.	Displacement Impacts on Minority and Low Income Communities .....	5-22
Table 5-2.	Population Served – Service Equity .....	5-23
Table 5-3.	Employment Impacts of Construction Spending (Millions 2006 Dollars) .....	5-25
Table 5-4.	Loss of Assessed Property Value.....	5-26
Table 5-5.	Estimated Potential Property Acquisitions and Relocations .....	5-31
Table 5-6.	Air Quality Screening Analysis .....	5-35
Table 5-7.	Predicted Worst-Case One-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations (ppm).....	5-40
Table 5-8.	Predicted Worst-Case Eight-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations (ppm) ...	5-40
Table 5-9.	Noise Impacts of LRT for Category 2 Land Uses .....	5-44
Table 5-10.	Vibration Impacts of LRT for Category 2 Land Uses .....	5-47
Table 5-11.	Vibration Impacts of LRT for Category 3 Land Uses .....	5-47
Table 5-12.	Summary of Section 106 Effects to NRHP-Listed or Eligible Historic Resources .....	5-60
Table 5-13.	Properties in the Third Ward East Historic District with Adverse Effects - LPA .....	5-67
Table 5-14.	Potentially Contaminated Sites for LPA Right-of-Way Acquisition .....	5-81
Table 5-15.	Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels .....	5-90
Table 5-16.	Construction Equipment Vibration Levels.....	5-90
Table 5-17.	Public Projects Contributing to Cumulative Impacts.....	5-109
Table 6-1.	Comments Received on the DEIS.....	6-17
Table 7-1.	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	7-5
Table 7-2.	Mobility Improvements .....	7-14
Table 7-3.	Environmental Benefits .....	7-16
Table 7-4.	Operating Efficiencies .....	7-16
Table 7-5.	New Starts Financial Criteria .....	7-17
Table 7-6.	Comparison of No Build Versus LPA Trade-Offs .....	7-18
Table 8-1.	Proposed Sources and Uses of Funds FY 2005 to 2030 (Year of Expenditure Dollars) .....	8-4
Table 8-2.	Compounded Rate of Change.....	8-6
Table 8-3.	LPA Project Cost Estimate in Constant 2006 Dollars.....	8-7
Table 8-4.	LPA Project Cost Estimate in Year of Expenditure Dollars .....	8-7
Table 8-5.	LPA Project Capital Cost Estimate and Schedule in Year of Expenditure Dollars .....	8-8

Table 8-6.	LPA Sources of Capital Funding FY2006 – FY2030 In Year of Expenditure Dollars (\$,000).....	8-9
Table 8-7.	LPA Schedule of Capital Funding Fiscal Year 2006 through Fiscal Year 2012 (Year of Expenditure \$, 000) .....	8-10
Table 8-8.	Proposed METRO Capital Plan including Southeast Corridor LPA FY 2006-2030 .....	8-12
Table 8-9.	LPA Projected Ridership and Fare Revenue (Year Of Expenditure \$).....	8-17
Table 8-10.	Bus Cost Factors (2006 Dollars) .....	8-23
Table 8-11.	Variable O&M Cost Factors (FY 2004 Actuals stated in 2006 dollars).....	8-23
Table 8-12.	LRT O&M Cost Factors (2006 Dollars).....	8-24
Table 8-13.	Sample LRT O&M Cost Breakdown.....	8-25
Table 8-14.	BRT Convertible O&M Cost Factors (2005 Dollars).....	8-25
Table 8-15.	Cash Flow Analysis (Year of Expenditure \$).....	8-27
Table 8-16.	Cash Flow Analysis (2006 Constant \$) .....	8-32
Table 8-17.	Current Ratio Calculations .....	8-37

## List of Figures

Figure S-1.	Build Alternatives Evaluated in the DEIS.....	S-6
Figure S-2.	Locally Preferred Alternative .....	S-8
Figure 1-1.	Locally Preferred Alternative .....	1-3
Figure 1-2.	Project Location and Study Area .....	1-5
Figure 1-3.	Locations of Activity Centers .....	1-6
Figure 1-4.	Southeast Corridor Travel Markets.....	1-9
Figure 1-5.	Existing Roadways .....	1-11
Figure 1-6.	Existing Transit Services and Facilities .....	1-12
Figure 1-7.	METRO Solutions Phase 2 Implementation Plan.....	1-19
Figure 2-1.	Initial Alignment Segments Considered.....	2-4
Figure 2-2.	Alignment Segments Remaining After Screening .....	2-6
Figure 2-3.	Alternative SL-1 Alignment.....	2-8
Figure 2-4.	Alternative SL-2 Alignment.....	2-9
Figure 2-5.	Alternative SL-3 Alignment.....	2-11
Figure 2-6.	Alternative SL-4 Alignment.....	2-12
Figure 2-7.	Downtown Connector Study Alternatives .....	2-17
Figure 2-8.	No Build Alternative Regional Roadway Improvements .....	2-24
Figure 2-9.	No Build Alternative Transit Network.....	2-26
Figure 2-10.	No Build Alternative Transit Center and Park-and-Ride Sites .....	2-27
Figure 2-11.	Light Rail Transit Alternative.....	2-29
Figure 2-12.	Typical LRT Substation .....	2-35
Figure 2-13.	LRT Vehicle Storage and Maintenance Center Site Location .....	2-36
Figure 2-14.	Typical LRT Vehicle .....	2-37
Figure 2-15.	Bus Rapid Transit-Convertible Alternative.....	2-40
Figure 2-16.	Typical BRT Vehicle .....	2-42
Figure 2-17.	Locally Preferred Alternative .....	2-44
Figure 3-1.	Existing Land Use: North of Brays Bayou .....	3-3
Figure 3-2.	Existing Land Use: South of Brays Bayou.....	3-4
Figure 3-3.	Percent Households with Incomes Below Poverty Level.....	3-29
Figure 3-4.	Super Neighborhoods .....	3-31
Figure 3-5.	Community Facilities .....	3-35
Figure 3-6.	Existing Roadway System.....	3-44

Figure 3-7.	Existing Average Daily Traffic Volumes.....	3-46
Figure 3-8.	Railroads .....	3-51
Figure 3-9.	Typical Day-Night Sound Levels .....	3-59
Figure 3-10.	FTA Noise Impact Criteria .....	3-61
Figure 3-11.	Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels Allowed by Criteria .....	3-61
Figure 3-12.	Typical Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration.....	3-62
Figure 3-13.	Noise Measurement Sites .....	3-65
Figure 3-14.	Downtown Houston .....	3-71
Figure 3-15.	Scott Street North of Wheeler Street.....	3-72
Figure 3-16.	Floodplains .....	3-80
Figure 3-17.	Historic Resources within Area of Potential Effect .....	3-85
Figure 3-18.	Parks and Recreational Facilities .....	3-92
Figure 4-1.	Forecast 2025 Average Daily Traffic Volumes .....	4-6
Figure 5-1.	Location of Relocations under the LPA .....	5-30
Figure 5-2.	Air Quality Analysis Site Locations .....	5-36
Figure 5-3.	Noise Impact Locations .....	5-45
Figure 5-4.	EI Espresso Bus Service Facility .....	5-53
Figure 5-5.	Section 106 Historic Resources with Potential Adverse Effects.....	5-61
Figure 5-6.	Potential Section 4(f) Uses .....	5-73
Figure 5-7.	De minimis Impacts to MacGregor Park.....	5-74
Figure 5-8.	Impacts to the Third Ward East Historic District.....	5-76
Figure 5-9.	Location of Contaminated Sites for Acquisition.....	5-82
Figure 7-1.	2030 User Benefits for LPA - Productions.....	7-14
Figure 7-2.	2030 User Benefits for LPA – Attractions.....	7-15
Figure 8-1.	Statement of Net Assets As of September 30, 2003 and September 30, 2004 .....	8-38



## SUMMARY

